

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/614,407	(07/12/2000	Bo Zheng	AN	MAT/4471/CALB/COPPER/SB 1903		
32588	7590	02/06/2004			EXAMINER		
APPLIED M		·			MUTSCHLER, BRIAN L		
2881 SCOTT BLVD. M/S 2061 SANTA CLARA, CA 95050					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Shirin Shinai, Cir yeste					1753		

DATE MAILED: 02/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

a de la companya de l	Application No.	Applicant(s)							
Advisory Action	09/614,407	ZHENG ET AL.	(C)						
	Examiner	Art Unit							
·	Brian L. Mutschler	1753							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 24 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.									
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any									
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	mins after the maining date of the infaireje	ction, even ir uniery filed,	may reduce any						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR									
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:								
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);									
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	c) \(\text{\text{\text{I}}} \) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) \square they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected clain	ns.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.									
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):								
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NO	T place the						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:									
Claim(s) allowed: <u>85-101</u> .									
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: 32-84									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer									
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,								

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment is not in the proper format because it does not list the status of all the claims. The status of claims 1-31 is missing.

Continuation of 10. Other: The supplemental IDS submitted December 24, 2003, will not be considered because it is not proper under 37 CFR 1.97(e), which requires a statement that either (1) each item contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office or (2) no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any individual more than three months prior to the filing to the information disclosure statement (see MPEP 609 for the complete text of 37 CFR 1.97). While the IDS does include a statement similar to 1.97(e)(1), the statement does not state that each item was cited by a foreign patent office. U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,261,433 and 6,391,166 were not cited on the European Search Report, and no statement under 1.97(e)(2) was made. Therefore, the IDS will be placed in the file but not considered by the Office.

NAM NGWYEN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700